

and probably *Delipsea fetigera* known
 a *Delipsea* - this bears the precisely the
 relation to the long calyx form that the
~~old~~ 2-flowered *Delipsea clermontoides*
 found. does to *Clermontia grandiflora*
 figured in the same work - both the
 latter differ from *C. parviflora* in the
 ovary 1-celled with ovule
 parietal placentation and ovule,
 all over the inner face as
 figured by Goudot and by Brongniart and as
 I have verified in specimens I have found
 no such placentation in any other of the
 group and have not means of ascertaining
 it in Hillebrand's 1-flowered species.



Perhaps this might give a better character
 for *Clermontia* than the calyx and if so *C.*
parviflora must go into *Delipsea* but I
 cannot venture to do so with the insufficient
 data our specimens give Can you help me?
 I don't mean with specimens but with
 your own observation.

Yours very sincerely

George Reuthers

25, WILTON PLACE.
 London S.W.

Feb 14 1874

My dear Gray

I received yours of the 16th & 24th Jan
 your account now stands thus

Vol. 1 part 1 Gen. Pt. returned	—	15.9
Books for Linnean Society		5. 0. 0
		<hr/>
		£ 5. 15. 9
<i>Scorpaenopsis</i> x 11. 3	—	2. 6
<i>Saunders Repertorium</i> 14. 3		
and V, 1, 2	—	1. 2. 9
<i>Gen. de la Poë</i>	—	1. 9. 9
		<hr/>
		3. 0. 0
Remains due to you	—	£ 2. 15. 9

which I will remit to you in any
 way you may wish

Mr. Keppel made an unfortunate
 mistake in directing the copy of
 2 parts vol XIV *Linnean Journal*
 intended for Columbia College to

J Sullivan - If this cannot be set
right on your side of the water I
will have another copy sent to
Columbia College - Pray let me know.
Kippist seems to have got a little
bewildered in the battle of moving.
I have however no longer any thing
to do with Linnean Society affairs.

The misprints you mention in
your paper are one of the
consequences of the deficiency in our
editing staff which it was my object
to remedy in the proposals that
I have given an opportunity of
creating the disturbance which has
driven me from the Chair. I must
observe however that your MS

sometimes requires a little more
distinctness in technical names. In
your present corrections for instance
you have written Gordonia and
Goodenia so much alike that I can
scarcely tell which is which.

I hope you will publish your
Composite notes as I may not live
to the end of the present volume of
Genera.

I have been looking through the
Andwich Island Lobelia and
adopted the genera as you last limited
them but they are very shady in
some respects - especially as behoves
Delopea and Clermontia. Hillebrand
has a Clermontia with 1-flowered peduncles
and calyx a little more than half as long
as the corolla and according to him a
variety with the calyx short calyx teeth of